

Why a minimum wage causes more problems than it solves

Summary

Michael Christl,
Monika Köppl-Turyňa
and Dénes Kucsera,
February 16, 2017

- We have made a conservative estimate of the impact of a gross minimum wage of EUR 1,500 on employment. It covers only seven of a wide range of industries. But this still shows that up to 20,000 jobs would be on the line.
- Employees would only partially benefit from the combined EUR 780 million increase in costs and company expenses: 48.6% of that would end up in the government's pocket in the form of social security contributions and income tax, and the remaining 51.4% would go to workers in the shape of higher net salaries. In rounded absolute figures, this would mean EUR 379 million for the state and EUR 401 million for employees.
- A minimum wage is too rigid because it removes the option of adapting wages to reflect sluggish economic growth or a crisis in a particular sector. Collective agreements are a better approach, because fewer jobs for less-qualified, young workers are lost.
- If the aim is to provide more effective support to families close to the poverty line, lower social security contributions or higher transfer payments for such groups would be a far more efficient solution. And a minimum wage would mean job losses and higher unemployment – the main cause of poverty.
- A gross minimum wage of EUR 1,500 would raise consumption by a maximum of 0.2%, so the expectation of higher consumption is more of a hope than reality.